

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,738	ORTABASI, UGUR	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey T. Barton	1795	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton. (3) ____.

(2) John De Luca. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2009

Time: Evening

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Proposed Examiner's Amendment, electronic mail communications.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Mr. De Luca to propose amendments to place the Application in condition for allowance. The proposed amendments were sent to Mr. De Luca by electronic mail, and a copy of the correspondence is attached to this interview summary. Mr. De Luca approved the amendment that is made in this notice of allowance..